

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/864,700	COLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke Gilligan	3626	

All Participants:

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(2) Brian Rosenbloom.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner attempted to contact Applicants' representative to determine whether any response to the outstanding Office Action had been sent. However, the Examiner's attempts were unsuccessful.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JOSEPH THOMAS
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)